(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

CONTEMPT CASE NO. 36 OF 2007 Single Bench

PETITIONERS : 1. Goverdhan Prasad Son of Shri Sahdev Rathore, aged about 49 years, Occupation Service (Gangman), Resident of Village Ashaud, Tahsil Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

2. Ghasiram Son of Shri Darasram, aged about 49 years, Caste Sahu, Occupation Service (Gangman), Resident of Girari, Tahsil Baradwar, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

3. Firtu Ram Patel Son of Shri Mohit Ram, aged about 46 years, Occupation Service (Gangman), Resident of Village Temar, Post Temar, Tahsil Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS CONTEMNORS : 1. M.K. Raut, aged about 55 years, Son of Not Known, Secretary, Public Works Department and National Highway, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

2

(5)

2. G. S. Solanki, aged about 60 years Son of Not Known, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department Raipur (C.G.).

3. K. K. Pipari, aged about 55 years Son of Not Know, Superintendent Engineer, National Highway, Bilaspur (C.G.).

4. D. K. Jain, aged about 59 years, Son of Not Known, Superintendent Engineer, Public Works Department, Bilaspur (C.G.).

5. P. K. Gupta, aged about 55 years Son of Not Known, Sub Divisional Officer, National Highway, Champa, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

6. D. C. Patley, aged about 52 years Son of Not Know, Sub Divisional Officer, Public Works Department, Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 10 & 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Contempt Case No. 36 of 2007

Single Bench:Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Petitioners : Goverdhan Prasad and others

Versus

Respondents : M.K. Raut and others

Present:

Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. V.S.S. Moorthy, Senior counsel for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 13th Day of February, 2007)

This was in the case of Govind Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others (W.P. No. 108 of 2005), which has been decided by this Court on 4.1.2007, wherein this Court held that the permanent gangmen are entitled to retire on attaining the age of 62 years on superannuation.

2. In the case of the petitioner, the permanent gangmen may approach the concerned authorities in the light of said judgment for appropriate relief.

3. In view of the above, the contempt petition is dismissed as no case has been made out for contempt. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
SATISH K. ANGNIHOTRI
Judge

Subhash